ONLINE DAT Opiates II
Order information

| REF | CONTENT |  | Analyzer(s) on which cobas c pack(s) can be used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04490894190 | ONLINE DAT Opiates II (200 tests) | System-ID 0769495 | cobas c 311, cobas c 501/502 |
| 03304671190 | Preciset DAT Plus I calibrators CAL 1-6 (6x5 mL) | Codes 431-436 |  |
| 03304680190 | Preciset DAT Plus II calibrators CAL 1-6 ( $6 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) | Codes 437-442 |  |
| 03304698190 | C.f.a.s. DAT Qualitative Plus ( $6 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) |  |  |
| 04590856190 | C.f.a.s. DAT Qualitative Plus Clinical ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) | Code 699 |  |
| 03312950190 | Control Set DAT I (for $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ assay) <br> PreciPos DAT Set I ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) <br> PreciNeg DAT Set I $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ |  |  |
| 03312968190 | Control Set DAT II (for $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ assay) <br> PreciPos DAT Set II ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) <br> PreciNeg DAT Set II ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) |  |  |
| 04500873190 | Control Set DAT Clinical (for $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ assay) <br> PreciPos DAT Clinical ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) <br> PreciNeg DAT Clinical ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) |  |  |

## English

## System information

For cobas c 311/501 analyzers:
OP3Q2: ACN 497: for qualitative assay, $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$
OP2Q2: ACN 495: for qualitative assay, $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$
OP3S2: ACN 498: for semiquantitative assay, $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$
OP2S2: ACN 496: for semiquantitative assay, $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$
OP3QC: ACN 794: for qualitative assay, $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$; using C.f.a.s. DAT Qualitative Plus Clinical
For cobas c 502 analyzer:
OP3Q2: ACN 8497: for qualitative assay, $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$
OP2Q2: ACN 8495: for qualitative assay, $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$
OP3S2: ACN 8498: for semiquantitative assay, $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$
OP2S2: ACN 8496: for semiquantitative assay, $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$
OP3QC: ACN 8794: for qualitative assay, $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$; using C.f.a.s. DAT Qualitative Plus Clinical

## Intended use

Opiates II (OPI2) is an in vitro diagnostic test for the qualitative and semiquantitative detection of morphine and its metabolites in human urine on Roche/Hitachi cobas c systems at cutoff concentrations of $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. Semiquantitative test results may be obtained that permit laboratories to assess assay performance as part of a quality control program. Semiquantitative assays are intended to determine an appropriate dilution of the specimen for confirmation by a confirmatory method such as gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC-MS).
Opiates II provides only a preliminary analytical test result. A more specific alternate chemical method must be used in order to obtain a confirmed analytical result. GC-MS is the preferred confirmatory method. ${ }^{1}$ Clinical consideration and professional judgment should be applied to any drug of abuse test result, particularly when preliminary positive results are used.

## Summary

Morphine, a natural product of the opium poppy, is a narcotic analgesic used for centuries as a medicine for the relief of severe pain. Extracted from opium obtained from the poppy's resin, morphine may, in turn, be further chemically refined to heroin (the more potent, diacetylated analog of the parent drug). These chemically similar "opiates" reduce sensitivity to physical and psychological stimuli, dulling pain, fear and anxiety. Users are usually lethargic and indifferent. Accompanying effects may include constriction of the pupils, itching, constipation, nausea, vomiting, and respiratory depression. Death by overdose, usually resulting from dose miscalculation or dose-strength variability, is caused by respiratory failure. ${ }^{2,3,4}$
The opiates are usually administered intravenously or subcutaneously, but may also be smoked or sniffed. Upon entering the circulation, they tend to
concentrate in the lungs, spleen, kidneys, and liver; lower concentrations are found in the body's musculature and central nervous system. A variety of pathways are involved in the body's detoxification of the opiates, including the removal of chemical side groups (dealkylation), addition of hydroxyl groups, hydrolytic breakdown, and conjugation to glucuronic acid (a common body sugar). ${ }^{5}$ Morphine is excreted in the urine as morphine-3-glucuronide, unchanged free morphine, and other minor metabolites. Although some opiate metabolites appear in the bile and feces, urinary excretion is the primary route of elimination. ${ }^{1,6}$
The opiates produce strong physical dependence; withdrawal symptoms can begin to appear within a few hours of the last dose and may continue for 5-10 days. The addict may pursue continued opiate use as much to avoid the discomfort of withdrawal as to achieve the desired insensate euphoria. ${ }^{7,8}$

## Test principle

The assay is based on the kinetic interaction of microparticles in a solution (KIMS) ${ }^{9,10}$ as measured by changes in light transmission. In the absence of sample drug, soluble drug conjugates bind to antibody-bound microparticles, causing the formation of particle aggregates. As the aggregation reaction proceeds in the absence of sample drug, the absorbance increases.
When a urine sample contains the drug in question, this drug competes with the drug derivative conjugate for microparticle-bound antibody. Antibody bound to sample drug is no longer available to promote particle aggregation, and subsequent particle lattice formation is inhibited. The presence of sample drug diminishes the increasing absorbance in proportion to the concentration of drug in the sample. Sample drug content is determined relative to the value obtained for a known cutoff concentration of drug. ${ }^{11}$

## Reagents - working solutions

R1 Conjugated morphine derivative; buffer; bovine serum albumin; 0.09 \% sodium azide

R2 Microparticles attached to morphine antibody (mouse monoclonal); buffer; bovine serum albumin; 0.09 \% sodium azide
$R 1$ is in position $B$ and $R 2$ is in position $C$.

## Precautions and warnings

For in vitro diagnostic use.
Exercise the normal precautions required for handling all laboratory reagents.
Disposal of all waste material should be in accordance with local guidelines.
Safety data sheet available for professional user on request.
For USA: Caution: Federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a physician.

## Reagent handling

Ready for use

Carefully invert reagent container several times prior to use to ensure that the reagent components are mixed.

## Storage and stability

Shelf life at $2-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :
See expiration date on cobas c pack label
On-board in use and refrigerated on the analyzer:
8 weeks

## Do not freeze.

## Specimen collection and preparation

Only the specimens listed below were tested and found acceptable.
Urine: Collect urine samples in clean glass or plastic containers. Fresh urine specimens do not require any special handling or pretreatment, but an effort should be made to keep pipetted samples free of gross debris. Samples should be within the normal physiological pH range of $5-8$. No additives or preservatives are required. It is recommended that urine specimens be stored at $2-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and tested within 5 days of collection. ${ }^{12}$ For prolonged storage, freezing of the sample is recommended.
Centrifuge highly turbid specimens before testing.
See the limitations and interferences section for details about possible sample interferences.
Sample stability claims were established by experimental data by the manufacturer or based on reference literature and only for the temperatures/time frames as stated in the method sheet. It is the responsibility of the individual laboratory to use all available references and/or its own studies to determine specific stability criteria for its laboratory.
Adulteration or dilution of the sample can cause erroneous results. If adulteration is suspected, another sample should be collected. Specimen validity testing is required for specimens collected under the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs. ${ }^{13}$
CAUTION: Specimen dilutions should only be used to interpret results of Calc.? and Samp.? alarms, or when estimating concentration in preparation for GC-MS. Dilution results are not intended for patient values. Dilution procedures, when used, should be validated.

## Materials provided

See "Reagents - working solutions" section for reagents.
Materials required (but not provided)
See "Order information" section
General laboratory equipment

## Assay

For optimum performance of the assay follow the directions given in this document for the analyzer concerned. Refer to the appropriate operator's manual for analyzer-specific assay instructions.
The performance of applications not validated by Roche is not warranted and must be defined by the user.

## Application for urine

Deselect Automatic Rerun for these applications in the Utility menu, Application screen, Range tab.

| cobas c 311 test definition $-\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff assay |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Semiquantitative | Qualitative |
| Assay type | 2-Point End | 2-Point End |
| Reaction time / Assay points | $10 / 8-22$ | $10 / 8-22$ |
| Wavelength (sub/main) | $-/ 570 \mathrm{~nm}$ | $-/ 570 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
| Reaction direction | Increase | Increase |
| Unit | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ | mAbs |
| Reagent pipetting |  | Diluent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| R1 | $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - |
| R2 | $41 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - |
| Sample volumes | Sample | Sample dilution |


|  |  | Sample | Diluent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal | $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |
| Decreased | $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |
| Increased | $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |

cobas c 501/502 test definition - $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff assay

|  | Semiquantitative | Qualitative |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Assay type | 2-Point End | 2-Point End |  |
| Reaction time / Assay points | $10 / 13-31$ |  | $10 / 13-31$ |
| Wavelength (sub/main) | $-/ 570 \mathrm{~nm}$ |  | $-/ 570 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
| Reaction direction | Increase |  | Increase |
| Unit | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  | mAbs |
| Reagent pipetting |  |  | Diluent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| R1 | $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |  | - |
| R2 | $41 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |  | - |
| Sample volumes | Sample | Sample dilution |  |
|  |  | Sample | Diluent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| Normal | $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |
| Decreased | $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |
| Increased | $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |

cobas c 311 test definition - $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff assay

|  | Semiquantitative | Qualitative |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Assay type | 2-Point End | 2-Point End |  |
| Reaction time / Assay points | $10 / 8-22$ |  | $10 / 8-22$ |
| Wavelength (sub/main) | $-/ 570 \mathrm{~nm}$ |  | $-/ 570 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
| Reaction direction | Increase |  | Increase |
| Unit | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  | mAbs |
| Reagent pipetting |  |  | Diluent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| R1 | $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |  | - |
| R2 | $41 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |  | - |
| Sample volumes | Sample | Sample dilution |  |
|  |  | Sample | Diluent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| Normal | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |
| Decreased | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |
| Increased | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | - | - |

cobas c 501/502 test definition - $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff assay


ONLINE DAT Opiates II

a) See Results section.

Calibration interval may be extended based on acceptable verification of calibration by the laboratory.
Traceability: This method has been standardized against a primary reference method (GC-MS).

## Quality control

For quality control, use control materials as listed in the "Order information" section.
In addition, other suitable control material can be used.
Drug concentrations of the Control Set DAT I, II, and Clinical have been verified by GC-MS.
The control intervals and limits should be adapted to each laboratory's individual requirements. Values obtained should fall within the defined limits. Each laboratory should establish corrective measures to be taken if values fall outside the defined limits.
Follow the applicable government regulations and local guidelines for quality control.

## Results

For the qualitative assay, the cutoff calibrator is used as a reference in distinguishing between preliminary positive and negative samples. Samples producing a positive or "0" absorbance value are considered preliminary positive. Preliminary positive samples are flagged with >Test. Samples producing a negative absorbance value are considered negative. Negative samples are preceded by a minus sign.
The semiquantitation of preliminary positive results should only be used by laboratories to determine an appropriate dilution of the specimen for confirmation by a confirmatory method such as GC-MS . It also permits the
laboratory to establish quality control procedures and assess control performance.
For the semiquantitative assay, the analyzer computer constructs a calibration curve from absorbance measurements of the standards using a 4 parameter logit-log fitting function (RCM). The logit-log function fits a smooth line through the data points. The analyzer computer uses absorbance measurements of samples to calculate drug or drug metabolite concentration by interpolation of the logit-log fitting function.
NOTE: If a result of Calc.? or Samp.? alarm is obtained, review the Reaction Monitor data for the sample and compare with the Reaction Monitor data for the highest calibrator. The most likely cause is a high concentration of the analyte in the sample, in which case the absorbance value for the sample will be less than that of the highest calibrator. Make an appropriate dilution of the sample using the $0 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ calibrator and rerun the sample. A normal drug-free urine may be substituted for the $0 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ calibrator if the urine and procedure have been validated by the laboratory.To ensure that the sample was not over-diluted, the diluted result, prior to multiplying by the dilution factor, must be at least half the analyte cutoff value. If the diluted result falls below half the analyte cutoff value, repeat the sample with a smaller dilution. A dilution that produces a result closest to the analyte cutoff is the most accurate estimation. To estimate the preliminary positive sample's concentration, multiply the result by the appropriate dilution factor. Dilutions should only be used to interpret results of Calc.? or Samp.? alarms, or when estimating concentration in preparation for GC-MS.
Use caution when reporting results as there are various factors that influence a urine test result, such as fluid intake and other biological factors.
As with any sensitive test for drugs of abuse on automated clinical chemistry analyzers, the possibility exists for analyte carry-over from a sample with an extremely high concentration to a normal (negative) sample which immediately follows it.
Preliminary positive results should be confirmed by another method.

## Limitations - interference

See the "Specific performance data" section of this document for information on substances tested with this assay. There is the possibility that other substances and/or factors may interfere with the test and cause erroneous results (e.g., technical or procedural errors).
A preliminary positive result with this assay indicates the presence of opiates and/or their metabolites in urine. It does not measure the level of intoxication.
Interfering substances were added to drug free urine at the concentration listed below. These samples were then spiked to $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ using a morphine stock solution. Samples were tested on a Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer and the following results were obtained:

| Substance | Concentration <br> Tested | \% Morphine <br> Recovery |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | $1 \%$ | 98 |
| Ascorbic acid | $1.5 \%$ | 97 |
| Bilirubin | $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 95 |
| Creatinine | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 95 |
| Ethanol | $1 \%$ | 100 |
| Glucose | $2 \%$ | 97 |
| Hemoglobin | $7.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99 |
| Human albumin | $0.5 \%$ | 96 |
| Oxalic acid | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 93 |
| Sodium chloride | 0.5 M | 84 |
| Sodium chloride | 1 M | 78 |
| Urea | $6 \%$ | 94 |

Urine levels of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ greater than $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}(33.2 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L})$ were found to interfere with the assay. The results were obtained on a cobas c 501 analyzer.
Interfering substances were added to drug free urine at the concentration listed below. These samples were then spiked to $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ using a morphine stock solution. Samples were tested on a Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer and the following results were obtained:

| Substance | Concentration <br> Tested | \% Morphine <br> Recovery |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | $1 \%$ | 99 |
| Ascorbic acid | $1.5 \%$ | 96 |
| Bilirubin | $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 98 |
| Creatinine | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 100 |
| Ethanol | $1 \%$ | 96 |
| Glucose | $2 \%$ | 98 |
| Hemoglobin | $7.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 101 |
| Human albumin | $0.5 \%$ | 96 |
| Oxalic acid | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 96 |
| Sodium chloride | 0.5 M | 95 |
| Sodium chloride | 1 M | 91 |
| Urea | $6 \%$ | 97 |

Urine levels of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ up to $600 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}(49.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L})$ do not interfere with the assay. The results were obtained on a cobas c 501 analyzer.
For diagnostic purposes, the results should always be assessed in conjunction with the patient's medical history, clinical examination and other findings.

## ACTION REQUIRED

Special Wash Programming: The use of special wash steps is mandatory when certain test combinations are run together on cobas c systems. The latest version of the carry-over evasion list can be found with the NaOHD-SMS-SmpCln1+2-SCCS Method Sheets. For further instructions refer to the operator's manual. cobas c 502 analyzer: All special wash programming necessary for avoiding carry-over is available via the cobas link, manual input is required in certain cases.
Where required, special wash/carry-over evasion programming must be implemented prior to reporting results with this test.

## Expected values

Qualitative assay
Results of this assay distinguish preliminary positive ( $\geq 300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ or $\geq 2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ depending on the cutoff) from negative samples only. The amount of drug detected in a preliminary positive sample cannot be estimated.
Semiquantitative assay
Results of this assay yield only approximate cumulative concentrations of the drug and its metabolites (see "Analytical specificity" section).

## Specific performance data

Representative performance data on the Roche/Hitachi analyzer are given below. Results obtained in individual laboratories may differ.

## Precision

Precision was determined in an internal protocol by running a series of morphine calibrator and controls (repeatability $\mathrm{n}=20$, intermediate precision $\mathrm{n}=100$ ). The following results were obtained on a cobas c 501 analyzer.
Semiquantitative precision - $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$

| Repeatability | Mean | SD | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n g / m L$ | $n g / m L$ | $\%$ |
| Level 1 | 225 | 7.1 | 3.1 |
| Level 2 | 301 | 10.0 | 3.3 |
| Level 3 | 385 | 12.8 | 3.3 |
| Intermediate | $M e a n$ | $S D$ | $C V$ |
| precision | $n g / m L$ | $n g / m L$ | $\%$ |
| Level 1 | 227 | 9.4 | 4.2 |
| Level 2 | 305 | 12.0 | 3.9 |
| Level 3 | 393 | 14.4 | 3.7 |


| Cutoff (300) | Number tested | Correct results | Confidence level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.75x | 100 | 100 | > 95 \% negative reading |  |
| 1.25x | 100 | 100 | > $95 \%$ positive reading |  |
| Semiquantitative precision - $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Repeatability | Mean $n g / m L$ |  | $\begin{gathered} S D \\ n g / m L \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Level 1 | 1480 |  | 35.3 | 2.4 |
| Level 2 | 2006 |  | 43.0 | 2.1 |
| Level 3 | 2523 |  | 55.0 | 2.2 |
| Intermediate precision | Mean ng/mL |  | $\begin{gathered} S D \\ n g / m L \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Level 1 | 1479 |  | 44.1 | 3.0 |
| Level 2 | 2025 |  | 57.6 | 2.8 |
| Level 3 | 2518 |  | 57.5 | 2.3 |

Qualitative precision - $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$

| Cutoff (2000) | Number <br> tested | Correct <br> results | Confidence level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.75 x | 100 | 100 | $>95 \%$ negative reading |
| 1.25 x | 100 | 100 | $>95 \%$ positive reading |

## Accuracy

100 urine samples, obtained from a clinical laboratory where they screened negative in a drug test panel, were evaluated with the Opiates II assay. $100 \%$ of these normal urines were negative relative to the $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoffs. 70 samples, obtained from a clinical laboratory where they screened preliminary positive with a commercially available immunoassay and were subsequently confirmed positive by GC-MS, were evaluated with the Opiates II assay. $100 \%$ of these samples were positive relative to the $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff. 54 samples, obtained from a clinical laboratory where they screened preliminary positive with a commercially available immunoassay and were subsequently confirmed positive by GC-MS, were evaluated with the Opiates II assay. $100 \%$ of these samples were positive relative to the $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff. In addition, positive urine samples were diluted with drug-free urine. For each cutoff ( $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), 10 positive samples were diluted to obtain drug concentrations less than the respective cutoffs. For each cutoff ( $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), the same 10 positive samples were diluted to obtain drug concentrations greater than the respective cutoffs. Data from the accuracy studies described above that fell within the near cutoff value ranges were combined with data generated from diluted positive samples. The following results were obtained with the Opiates II assay on the Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer relative to the GC-MS values.
Opiates II Clinical Correlation (Cutoff $=300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )

|  | Negative <br> Samples | GC-MS values (ng/mL) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $40-253$ | $301-794$ |  |
|  |  |  | Near Cutoff | $825-48247$ |  |
| Roche/Hitachi <br> 917 analyzer | + | 0 | 5 | 7 | 68 |
|  | - | 100 | 8 | 2 | 0 |

b) GC-MS values are represented by the sum of morphine and codeine and do not include all metabolites.

Opiates II Clinical Correlation (Cutoff $=\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ n g} / \mathbf{m L}$ )

|  |  | Negative <br> Samples | GC-MS values ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Near Cutoff |  | 3254-48247 |
|  |  |  | 153-1982 | 2051-3220 |  |
| Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer | + | 0 | 4 | 18 | 42 |
|  | - | 100 | 10 | 0 | 0 |

c) GC-MS values are represented by the sum of morphine and codeine and do not include all metabolites.

Qualitative precision - $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$

Additional clinical samples were evaluated with this assay on a cobas c
501 analyzer and a Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer. 100 urine samples, obtained from a clinical laboratory where they screened negative in a drug test panel, were evaluated with the Opiates II assay. $100 \%$ of these normal urines were negative for both cutoffs relative to the Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer. 72 urine samples for the $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff and 48 urine samples for the $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff, obtained from a clinical laboratory where they screened preliminary positive with a commercially available immunoassay and were subsequently confirmed by GC-MS, were evaluated with the Opiates II assay. At the $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff, $100 \%$ of the samples were positive on the cobas c 501 analyzer and $97 \%$ of the samples were positive on the Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer. At the $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoff $100 \%$ of the samples were positive on both the cobas c 501 analyzer and the Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer.

## Opiates II Correlation (Cutoff $=\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )

|  |  | Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | + | - |
| cobas c 501 <br> analyzer | + | 70 | 2 |
|  | - | 0 | 100 |

Opiates II Correlation (Cutoff $=\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )

|  |  | Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | + | - |
| cobas c 501 <br> analyzer | + | 48 | 0 |
|  | - | 0 | 100 |

## Analytical specificity

The specificity of this assay for structurally similar compounds was determined by generating inhibition curves for each of the compounds listed and determining the approximate quantity of each compound that is equivalent in assay reactivity to a $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and a $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ assay cutoff. The following results were obtained on a Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer.

| Compound | $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ <br> Equivalent to <br> $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ <br> Morphine | Approximate <br> $\%$ <br> Cross-reactivity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Codeine | 224 |  |
| Ethyl morphine | 297 | 134 |
| Diacetylmorphine | 366 | 101 |
| 6-Acetylmorphine | 386 | 82 |
| Dihydrocodeine | 510 | 78 |
| Morphine-3-glucuronide | 552 | 59 |
| Dihydromorphine* | 937 | 54 |
| Hydrocodone | 1086 | 32 |
| Thebaine | 1210 | 28 |
| Hydromorphone | 1425 | 25 |
| n-Norcodeine | 18590 | 21 |
| Oxycodone | $>75000$ | 2 |
| Meperidine | $>100000$ | $<0.4$ |
| Fentanyl* | $>150000$ | $<0.3$ |
| *Results were obtained on a cobas c 501 analyzer | $<0.2$ |  |
| Compound | ng/mL |  |
|  | Approximate |  |
| Equivalent to | Cross-reactivity |  |
| Codeine | $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |
| Ethyl morphine | Morphine | 130 |


| 6-Acetylmorphine | 2598 | 77 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Diacetylmorphine | 2915 | 69 |
| Dihydrocodeine | 3170 | 63 |
| Morphine-3-glucuronide | 3785 | 53 |
| Hydrocodone | 7166 | 28 |
| Dihydromorphine* | 7393 | 27 |
| Thebaine | 7579 | 26 |
| Hydromorphone | 10768 | 19 |
| n-Norcodeine | 99264 | 2 |
| Oxycodone | $>670000$ | $<0.3$ |
| Meperidine | $>670000$ | $<0.3$ |
| Fentanyl* | $>1000000$ | $<0.2$ |

* Results were obtained on a cobas c 501 analyzer


## Drug interference

The following compounds were prepared in aliquots of pooled normal human urine to yield a final concentration of $100000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. None of these compounds gave values in the assay that were greater than $0.5 \%$ cross-reactivity.

| Acetaminophen | Ibuprofen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Acetylsalicylic acid | Imipramine |
| Aminopyrine | Isoproterenol |
| Amitriptyline | Ketamine |
| Amobarbital | Lidocaine |
| d-Amphetamine | LSD |
| I-Amphetamine | Melanin |
| Ampicillin | Methadone |
| Ascorbic acid | $d$-Methamphetamine |
| Aspartame | I-Methamphetamine |
| Atropine | Methaqualone |
| Benzocaine | Methylphenidate |
| Benzoylecgonine (cocaine metabolite) | Methyprylon |
| Benzphetamine | Naloxone |
| Butabarbital | Naltrexone |
| Caffeine | Naproxen |
| Calcium hypochlorite | Niacinamide |
| Cannabidiol | Norethindrone |
| Chlordiazepoxide | I-Norpseudoephedrine |
| Chloroquine | Oxazepam |
| Chlorpheniramine | Penicillin G |
| Chlorpromazine | Pentobarbital |
| Cocaine | Phencyclidine |
| Dextromethorphan | Phenobarbital |
| Dextropropoxyphene | Phenothiazine |
| Diazepam | Phenylbutazone |
| Diphenhydramine | $d$-Phenylpropanolamine |
| Diphenylhydantoin | Phenylpropanolamine |
| Ecgonine | Procaine |
| Ecgonine methyl ester | Promethazine |
| $d$-Ephedrine | $d$-Pseudoephedrine |
| d,--Ephedrine | -Pseudoephedrine |
|  |  |

ONLINE DAT Opiates II

| l-Ephedrine | Quinidine |
| :--- | :--- |
| Epinephrine | Quinine |
| Erythromycin | Secobarbital |
| Estriol | Sulindac |
| Fenoprofen | Tetracycline |
| Furosemide | $\Delta^{9}$ THC-9-carboxylic acid |
| Gentisic acid | Tetrahydrozoline |
| Glutethimide | Trifluoperazine |
| Guaiacol glycerol ether | Verapamil |

Hydrochlorothiazide
d) LSD was tested at $2500 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$.
e) $\Delta 9 \mathrm{THC}-9$-carboxylic acid was tested at $10000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$.

The cross-reactivity for rifampin was tested with the Opiates II assay. The results obtained were $11.0 \%$ and $15.7 \%$ for the $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $2000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cutoffs, respectively.
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A point (period/stop) is always used in this Method Sheet as the decimal separator to mark the border between the integral and the fractional parts of a decimal numeral. Separators for thousands are not used.

## Symbols

Roche Diagnostics uses the following symbols and signs in addition to those listed in the ISO 15223-1 standard (for USA: see dialog.roche.com for definition of symbols used):

$\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow \text { CONTENT }}$| Contents of kit |
| :--- |
| Volume after reconstitution or mixing |

